

# EXHIBIT 1

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING

STEPHANIE WADSWORTH, )  
individually and as Parent )  
and legal guardian of ww, KW)  
GW and LW, minor children of)  
MATTHEW WADSWORTH, )

Plaintiffs, )

) Case No.

vs. ) 2:23-cv-00118-NDF

)

WALMART, INC., and JETSON )  
ELECTRIC BIKES, LLC )

Defendants, )

)

DEPOSITION OF BILL ROBINSON

Wednesday, November 15, 2023, 1:00 P.M.

Via Zoom video conference

BE IT REMEMBERED that the deposition of BILL ROBINSON was taken by the attorney for the Plaintiffs, GRAYSON GOODY, ESQ., 58 Malaga Cove Plaza, Palos Verdes Estates, California 90274 before Christine J. Roybal, Court Reporter for the State of Idaho, in the above-entitled matter.

1       absolutely.

2           Q.     Based upon your education, training, and  
3     experience, did you conclude based upon the charring, based  
4     upon the V pattern, that the likely cause was related to the  
5     electrical outlet located within the room pictured in  
6     Exhibit 4?

7           A.     Like, you're asking me if I knew it came from that  
8     electrical outlet?

9           Q.     Yes, sir.

10          A.     I did not determine that it came from that  
11     electrical outlet. I could determine 100 percent fact that  
12     it came from that area of that outlet.

13          Q.     Did you ever gain an understanding as to what was  
14     plugged into that outlet?

15          A.     I did.

16          Q.     And what did you understand that to be?

17          A.     A Hoverboard.

18          Q.     How did you ever learn of that information?

19          A.     Well, during my investigation I saw something that  
20     was melted plastic and I didn't get into it, it's just a  
21     blob, looked like maybe a wheel or something on it. At that  
22     point I called off my investigation. I had enough to  
23     determine where I felt it came from and got the sheriff's  
24     office to come over and they usually take over after that.

25          Q.     So if I could summarize, at the very least your

Page 51

1 Q. Okay. Exposure ID, what's that refer to, if you  
2 know?

3 A. It's automatic, I don't know exactly what that one  
4 is.

5 Q. Okay. Same thing for exposure number?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Incident date is listed as February 1, 2022,  
8 that's consistent with your recollection of when this  
9 occurred?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And dispatch run number, is that also auto  
12 populated?

13 A. It's.

14 Q. This first page identifies that the report was  
15 completed by you, it was reviewed by you, and is printed by  
16 you, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. You would have completed this report February 9,  
19 2022?

20 A. I don't recall. Yeah, probably pretty close.

21 Q. Okay. And again, I'm just referring to that first  
22 line where it says "report completed by," it has your name,  
23 ID is left blank, but then it says date February 9, 2022, do  
24 you see that?

25 A. Yes, that is correct.

1 Q. That would reflect at the very least when you  
2 would have completed this report.

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. The next line lists the date of March 2, 2022,  
5 would that have been when you reviewed this report?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. And could you describe for the jury what that  
8 means? Is that after completion of the report you go back  
9 in and you review the report?

10 A. Sometimes. Sometimes it can be as simple as, I had  
11 to go back in there and type who we gave the investigation  
12 over to, or if something else came up that we had to put in,  
13 and it will log that later on in the pages, it will say who  
14 did what or added what to it and for what purpose.

15 Q. Okay. So we'll go certainly the entirety of this  
16 report.

17 You have identified of course that it was an enclosed  
18 building, it was a family dwelling, correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. You've marked that the detectors were present, is  
21 that referring to smoke detectors?

22 A. It is.

23 Q. By the way, when you arrived on scene, were you  
24 able to hear the smoke detectors going off?

25 A. You could hear them audibly, yes.

1 telling him that you had just concluded your inspection and  
2 investigation, did you provide him with any detail  
3 whatsoever of the results of your investigation?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And so after that, he conducted his own inspection  
6 and investigation?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Do you know how long it took for him to conduct  
9 his inspection and investigation?

10 A. They're more thorough than we are, as expected,  
11 they are more diving in for origin and cause than we are,  
12 which is typical. Very normal. And I want to say his took  
13 pretty much the remainder of the day, maybe even some into  
14 the evening, if I recall.

15 Q. Okay. Do you know approximately how many hours  
16 that would have been?

17 A. I don't.

18 Q. Do you know how long your inspection and  
19 investigation took?

20 A. Mine took just under two hours, roughly.

21 Q. Did you remain on the scene until he concluded his  
22 inspection and investigation?

23 A. I don't recall if I remained the entire time he  
24 was there, but I remained the majority of the time at least.

25 Q. After you greeted Detective Sheman and

1 Q. Okay. Did he arrive before or after you?

2 A. After.

3 Q. Do you know how long after you?

4 A. I don't.

5 If he was there before me, I don't recall if he was or  
6 not.

7 Q. And he would have come in a private vehicle?

8 A. He would have been in a personal vehicle.

9 Q. Looking at what we see as the fire at about  
10 10 minutes 30 seconds into this video, is that consistent of  
11 what you would have seen when you arrived?

12 A. I don't recall.

13 Q. In your investigations do you realize --

14 (Court reporter clarification.)

15 Q (By MR. LAFLAMME) In your fire investigation do  
16 you utilize NFPA921?

17 A. You'd have to refresh me what NFPA291 is.

18 Q. NFPA29 is the guide for fire and explosion  
19 investigations.

20 A. We try to.

21 Q. And are you familiar with that guide?

22 A. Not without looking at it.

23 Q. I believe you had -- I want to take a little step  
24 back and just go over some of the background items again.

25 You had indicated that you started with City of Green River

Page 122

1 origin investigator?

2 A. Or the PD unit, or the PD department or wherever  
3 we go, yes.

4 Q. I'm going to jump around, you've been asked a  
5 bunch of questions already.

6 Did you have any contact with the Wadsworth family  
7 during your investigation?

8 A. Not the people that lived in the house, no, no, I  
9 did not.

10 Q. Was the only contact with the grandparents that  
11 lived next door?

12 A. If that's his grandparents, yeah.

13 Q. And was that while you were on site?

14 A. I was on site.

15 Q. Did you ever interview any witnesses in this case?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Have you ever reviewed -- do you review interviews  
18 that Detective Sheman did?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Have you reviewed any body camera footage of the  
21 responding sheriff's department?

22 A. No, that's the first ones I saw.

23 Q. I think you had indicated that Larry Erdman was  
24 not involved in this investigation for origin and cause; is  
25 that correct?

1 entities.

2 Q. So looking at Exhibit 9, it shows your report was  
3 completed February 9, 2022. After you left the scene on  
4 February 1 of 2022, did you have any further investigative  
5 activities after the day of the fire?

6 A. I don't recall if I popped back out there for a  
7 thing or two or not. I think after I turned it over, it was  
8 a while back, I don't remember, but once I -- common  
9 practice, once we turn it over to the PD unit, this case  
10 being the sheriff's unit, we don't touch the scene. We  
11 don't try to go on there unless we're called again.

12 Q. Do you recall being called back to the scene in  
13 this instance?

14 A. No.

15 Q. It looks like you did take some evidence from the  
16 scene, correct?

17 A. I didn't personally take any of it from the scene.

18 Q. Looking at Exhibit 9, from the narrative 2, when  
19 it says, "The evidence found on scene during the  
20 investigation and any other findings were turned over to the  
21 Sweetwater County Sheriff's Department."

22 Does that mean they took possession of it right away?

23 A. So when they show up, if we're going to turn -- in  
24 this case, exactly. They showed up, I talked to them. When  
25 he's going in to do his I turn it over to him. I'm already

1 A. No, I turned it over that day to them.

2 Q. All right. Thank you.

3 Looking through with the narrative on No. 1, you  
4 stated, "After a thorough investigation, including GRFD and  
5 Sweetwater County Sheriff's Department, it was determined  
6 that the fire started to come from a Hoverboard that was  
7 plugged in."

8 Do you see that?

9 A. I do see it.

10 Q. When was it determined that the Hoverboard was  
11 plugged in?

12 A. That was from Detective Sheman when he found  
13 his -- he determined that plug was running towards the  
14 Hoverboard area.

15 Q. Was there ever a determination that the plug into  
16 the Hoverboard port was plugged in?

17 A. At that time, not that I'm aware of.

18 Q. How did you come to the determination that the  
19 Hoverboard was plugged in per your narrative report?

20 A. Just based off of what -- where our origin and  
21 cause was based off what he found, everything led right to  
22 that Hoverboard. I -- yeah.

23 Q. And if the Hoverboard wasn't plugged in, that  
24 would affect your opinion, correct?

25 MR. AYALA: Form.

1 You can answer.

2 A. Say that again.

3 MR. LAFLAMME: He's just objecting for the  
4 record for the judge later on.

5 A. Yeah, I got it. Go ahead again with the question.

6 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) If the Hoverboard was not  
7 plugged in at the time of the fire that would affect your  
8 opinion, correct?

9 MR. AYALA: Same objection.

10 A. It would make me look at it a little bit.

11 Q. (By MR. LAFLAMME) Okay. And that's because  
12 there wouldn't be any power to the Hoverboard, correct?

13 A. I wouldn't agree with that 100 percent. There  
14 could still be the battery located into it because you can  
15 remove them batteries. I don't know in that one could be  
16 removed or not.

17 Q. But if the Hoverboard wasn't plugged in, that  
18 would cause you to at least do -- take a second look at  
19 everything, correct?

20 MR. AYALA: Form.

21 A. I still can't answer that 100 percent. I would  
22 say with investigation efforts I would look into that a  
23 little bit different.

24 Q. (By MR. LAFLAMME) And under fire origin and  
25 cause investigation, you always want to continue to evaluate

1 evidence as it becomes available, correct?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And if evidence becomes available, that may affect  
4 your opinion, that opinion should be reassessed, correct?

5 A. Depending how the evidence became available,  
6 correct.

7 Q. As new evidence comes to light you need to  
8 consider that evidence, true?

9 A. Consider all evidence, correct.

10 Q. And as I understand it, you were on scene for  
11 about two hours for your origin and cause -- or for your --  
12 you didn't work just an origin investigation, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Okay. You were on scene for about two hours for  
15 your origin investigation, correct?

16 A. Roughly, correct.

17 Q. If the fire had started in the bedroom where you  
18 believe it started, you would expect to see some arcing on  
19 electrical wiring in that room, correct?

20 MR. AYALA: Form.

21 A. That's actually not 100 percent correct.

22 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) You would not expect to see  
23 any electrical arcing in that room?

24 A. Well, it depends on where the -- the fire, if it  
25 started in the electrical box you could see some arcing.

1 but if it started as electrical you'd see some arcings.

2 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) If there was no arcing  
3 anywhere in the boys' bedroom, that would cause you to take  
4 a further look at the origin you determined in this case,  
5 correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 MR. AYALA: Form.

8 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) And if the only arcing that  
9 was found was outside at the shed, that would cause you to  
10 at least look at the shed as a potential origin, correct?

11 MR. AYALA: Form.

12 A. Not necessarily. There was arcing where that  
13 line, the power line I was previously talking about had  
14 bounced, and it had -- you could see arc marks where it had  
15 bounced and was continuously doing it when I was there, but  
16 I know for a fact it didn't start each time it arced when it  
17 hit.

18 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) Do you know what that shed was  
19 used for outside the boys' room?

20 A. I have no idea.

21 Q. Did you know it was a smoking shed?

22 A. I did not.

23 MR. AYALA: Form.

24 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) If it was a smoking shed,  
25 would you potentially look at as an area of origin?

1 MR. AYALA: Form.

2 A. I would have look at everything as an origin. As  
3 a matter of fact I looked at whatever that building was when  
4 I got there, and afterwards I looked. And I still stick  
5 with my determination that that fire started inside that  
6 room.

7 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) Did you do anything to process  
8 the items, the fire debris that was outside of that window?

9 A. Other than look for, during had -- the during the  
10 battling the blaze, when they got that out we looked for hot  
11 spots when it's over. We rake through it a little bit to  
12 make sure there's no hot spots, and then that's it. We try  
13 to practice preservation anytime we can.

14 Q. Were you aware of any of the kids' statements that  
15 the fire started outside of the house on the date of the  
16 fire?

17 MR. AYALA: Form.

18 A. I wasn't aware of any kids' statements, no.

19 MR. LAFLAMME: Okay. I'm going to pull up a video  
20 here but I want to use sound, how do I do that?

21 CONCIERGE: So when you go to the screen share  
22 menu, on the bottom of the screen share page there's going  
23 to be a check box that says "share computer audio."

24 MR. LAFLAMME: Screen share. Okay, so share sound  
25 on the lower left?

1 shed catching on fire and melting, correct?

2 MR. AYALA: Object to the form.

3 A. Ask that question again.

4 Q (By MR. LAFLAMME) You can't discount the  
5 possibility that this V pattern that we see here is the  
6 result of the smoking shed catching on fire and melting.

7 MR. AYALA: Same objection.

8 A. I would have to know placement of shed. I guess  
9 you can't discount it either way, without all the  
10 information given to you, you can't do it.

11 Q (By MR. LAFLAMME) It's certainly something you  
12 would want to investigate if further --

13 A. I would need to investigate it further.

14 Q. And you would want to investigate further given  
15 children's statements, correct?

16 A. Absolutely.

17 Q. And directly above where this shed would have been  
18 outside the window would have been the electrical service  
19 line?

20 A. I have no idea where the electrical service line  
21 was.

22 Q. Okay. Did they come in where the weather head is  
23 here?

24 A. I did.

25 Q. And I think you had indicated before that you

1 to go through their bed area, correct?

2 A. Not correct.

3 MR. AYALA: Form.

4 A. It would have gone up, in this case I believe I  
5 looked at it, it went up through ceiling, hit the ceiling  
6 which is common in fire behavior, it goes up to the ceiling  
7 and rolls down.

8 Q (By MR. LAFLAMME) Okay. Is there any other  
9 pattern that you are relying on besides what we see in  
10 Exhibit 14 on the top photograph, for the origin of this  
11 fire?

12 A. No. Again, from my investigation, that's what led  
13 me to that area being the origin.

14 Q. Are you aware of the results of joint fire  
15 inspection from the private side that occurred after the  
16 fire?

17 A. No, I wasn't even aware there was one.

18 Q. Are you aware of the lab inspection results that  
19 was done after the fire?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Okay. So as to the information that was  
22 identified during the private sector investigation, you  
23 don't have any information?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Are you aware of what the scientific method is

1           A. I don't think that's true, we process everything.  
2       When I went through my investigation I started from the  
3       outside working all the way in, almost try to copy the same  
4       pattern I do when I get there.

5           Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) What testing did you do for  
6       the hypothesis that it was -- that originated in the smoking  
7       shed?

8           A. All visual.

9           Q. And your visual testing of the -- of it  
10      potentially starting outside, that was just done in the  
11      two hours that you were on scene, correct?

12           A. Well, even when I originally got there, I tried to  
13      see because there was some fire that had started that was  
14      burning when I got there below that window on that ground  
15      and I wanted to know what that was.

16           Q. Okay. And what did you determine it was?

17           A. I determined it was materials that came off the  
18      house.

19           Q. Okay. And that's because you didn't know there  
20      was a smoking shed there, correct?

21           A. At that time I didn't know there was a smoking  
22      shed out front.

23           Q. Was the first time you heard there was a smoking  
24      shed today?

25           A. Today in this room. I knew there was a shed, I

1 didn't know what it was for.

2 Q. Did you know the parents had smoked in that shed  
3 that night?

4 A. No.

5 MR. AYALA: Form.

6 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) Did you know they also  
7 utilized a space heater in that shed?

8 A. No.

9 Q. It was cold February 1, 2022, in Green River,  
10 correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And you were not aware that they had utilized a  
13 space heater in that smoking shed that evening, true?

14 A. That's true.

15 Q. I think he said you had not spoken with Matthew  
16 Wadsworth; is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. You have not spoken to either of the children,  
19 correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. Did Larry Erdman, did he do anything with respect  
22 to an origin investigation?

23 A. He wasn't there. The only part that he even went  
24 inside for was when Detective Sheman was there. He went in  
25 with me to help us move a few items, kind of peel back those

1           Q. Okay. All right. You had indicated during in  
2 your prior testimony that you were 100 percent that it  
3 came -- the fire originated in the area of that outlet that  
4 we see here in Exhibit 15, correct?

5           A. To my -- to the best of my knowledge I'm 100  
6 percent that the fire originated in that room.

7           Q. Based on the information you've been given here  
8 today you would agree that there would be more investigation  
9 that you would want to conduct?

10          A. I would conduct more investigation to this.

11          Q. Okay. And that's based on the additional  
12 information that you've been provided here today, correct?

13          A. Right.

14          Q. So at this point if you were to assess the origin  
15 of the fire it's because there's official investigation that  
16 you would want to do to fully assess that you would have to  
17 classify it as undetermined under NFPA921, correct?

18           MR. AYALA: Form.

19          A. I would determine it currently still under  
20 investigation, undetermined until investigation is complete.

21          Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) Okay. And you can't, you  
22 can't get off the undetermined designation until the  
23 investigation is complete, correct?

24          A. That's correct.

25          Q. So as you sit here today, if you had to classify

1 the origin on your NFPA912, it would be undetermined

2 investigation not complete, correct?

3 MR. AYALA: Form.

4 A. Correct.

5 Q (By MR. LAFLAMME) The Sweetwater Fire District

6 No. 1, What is their role?

7 A. They're just a mutual aid department. They  
control their own district on the east side of the county.

8 Q. Okay. So is Green River Fire Department on the  
9 west side of the county and then Sweetwater Fire District is  
10 the one on the east side the county?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. Any other fire districts involved in Sweetwater  
13 County?

14 A. There's Rock Springs Fire, but they control their  
15 city.

16 Q. So they are just in the city?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Rock Springs as a city is pulled out from  
19 jurisdiction of the county split?

20 A. Yes, it is.

21 Q. The Sweetwater Fire District No. 1, where are they  
22 held at? What is that their headquarters?

23 A. They got two stations over there on the east side  
24 of Rock Springs.

1

## EXAMINATION

2

BY MR. LAFLAMME:

3

Q. Chief Robinson, before you testified that as we sit here today, based on the additional information you heard today, that you would classify this origin as undetermined under NFPA921 and that the investigation would be ongoing, correct?

8

MR. AYALA: Form.

9

A. If the evidence that you presented to me was there, you would have no choice but to determine that.

11

Q. (By MR. LAFLAMME) Okay. And is that the determination of the origin under NFPA921 as we sit here today would be in undetermined and that the investigation would be ongoing on the area of origin, true?

15

A. True.

16

Q. And prior to today, you're not aware that there was a shed outside that window, correct?

18

A. Correct.

19

Q. You were not aware that shed was used for smoking, correct?

21

A. That's correct.

22

MR. AYALA: Form.

23

Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) You are also not aware that there was a space heater in that shed, correct?

25

A. That is correct.

1 Q. You were not aware of the kids' statements about  
2 the fire starting outside in the shed area, correct?

3 MR. AYALA: Form.

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q (By MR. LAFLAMME) And you don't know what was --  
6 so if you didn't know there was a shed you don't know what  
7 was in that shed, correct?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. And furniture within a shed would be an additional  
10 fuel load that could burn, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 MR. AYALA: Object to form.

13 MR. LAFLAMME: And you were not aware prior to  
14 today that there was arcing found on the wiring in that  
15 shed.

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. And you were not aware prior to today that there  
18 was no arcing found on the inside of had a house, correct?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And you're aware that smoking material can cause a  
21 fire, correct.

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And smoking material doesn't necessarily always  
24 cause a fire at the time of smoking, correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 MR. AYALA: Form.

2 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) Meaning you can have someone  
3 smoke in a area and hours later a fire starts, correct?

4 MR. AYALA: Form.

5 A. Absolutely.

6 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) And you've run into that in  
7 your career, correct?

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. And you are aware that space heaters can cause  
10 fires as well, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And aren't space heaters one of the most common  
13 causes of fires for heating appliances?

14 MR. AYALA: Object to form.

15 A. I don't know if that's accurate. I know they are  
16 definitely a factor.

17 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) Are you aware of the NFPA fire  
18 statistics that are put out every year?

19 A. I'm aware of them, I don't remember them.

20 Q. It's not something you look at in your position?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And understanding that when you were there on the  
23 date of the fire, you were operating just with the evidence  
24 and facts that you had on that day, correct?

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. And additional evidence and facts that has been --  
2 have been revealed and discovered since that day, you have  
3 not been privy of, correct?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. There was some questioning about whether you've  
6 investigated a Hoverboard or lithium ion battery fire  
7 before, do you recall that?

8 A. I do.

9 Q. And counsel I don't think liked the way I phrased  
10 my question so I will rephrase for you. Have you ever  
11 investigated a fire that you determined originated at or  
12 near a Hoverboard other than this fire?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Okay. Have you ever investigated a fire that you  
15 believe originated at or near a lithium ion battery product  
16 other than in the Wadsworth fire?

17 A. Not prior to this.

18 Q. Okay. Subsequent to?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What was that product?

21 A. It was an electric bike motor, an electric bike  
22 and they have the same, essentially same thing, it's not the  
23 same battery but it's exactly, I mean, it's essentially the  
24 same thing.

25 Q. There's lot of products that have lithium ion

1 Q. I gotcha. So it would be a detective from Green  
2 River Police Department --

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. -- finish the investigation on the electric bike  
5 issue?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Okay. You're not aware that Mr. Pasborg stated on  
8 the date of the fire shortly after you responded that it  
9 looked like the fire started on the outside or the exterior  
10 wall?

11 A. No.

12 Q. And all of this additional facts and evidence, if  
13 you were to do a full and complete origin analysis you would  
14 want to walk through all of those additional facts and  
15 evidence, correct?

16 A. You would have to.

17 MR. AYALA: Object to form.

18 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) And when you say "you would  
19 have to," you're saying you would have to consider all of  
20 those facts and evidence in order to give it a final origin  
21 determination, correct?

22 MR. AYALA: Object to the form.

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. (BY MR. LAFLAMME) And so based on -- based on  
25 the information that you've learned here today -- strike